C.S. 100 L

(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3334 /2004

PETITIONER : Chhat Ram Patle, S/o. Lokey Patle aged about 55 years, R/o. Vill. Bamhnin, Teh. & Dist. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 335/04
Presented by Shri Vikram Gandharv
dated 16-9-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Public Works Department ,
D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Executive Engineer
Public Works Department
Divison Janjgir -Champa (C.G.)

16.9.04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(46)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : Satish K. Agnihotri, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 193 of 2004

Ved Ram and another

Vs

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1918 of 2004

Ram Singh

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1921 of 2004

Joyedha Ram

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1928 of 2004

Sadhu Ram and others

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 3334 of 2004

Chhat Ram Patle

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION NO. 4217 of 2004

Deonarayan and another

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others.

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, Mr. F.S.Khare and Mr. Kamal Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Vivek Sharma and Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyers for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORDER
(Passed on 20th September 2005)

The petitioners in this batch of writ petitions are working as permanent Gangmen in the Public Works Department of the State Government. Accordingly, this batch of writ petitions is being disposed of by a common order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that present petitions are squarely covered by the order passed by this Court on 15.09.2005 in Writ Petition No. 93 of 2005 and other connected matters.

Mr. Koshy, learned Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State agree with the submission of the petitioners.

Accordingly, this batch of writ petitions stand allowed in terms of order dated 15.09.2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 93 of 2005 and other connected matters.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge